

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/783,109

Applicant(s)

CHILDERS ET AL.

Examiner

Emily Bernhardt

Art Unit

1624

All Participants:

(1) Emily Bernhardt.

(2) Ms. Hild.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14-19

Prior art documents discussed:

Cliffe (US'278) and Abou-Gharbia (US'552) applied in parent.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

E Bernhardt

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims of instant case are narrower than in parent and thus the 103 rejection made in parent is no longer pertinent. Thus claims 1-13 are allowed provided no additional art found in an updated search. Claim 14 can be rejoined but would raise additional consideration based on uses claimed and current state of the art. It was agreed to cancel all nonelected subject matter including claim 14 and pass the case to issue if no other issues remain.